Effective Stress Intensity Factor – Energy Release Rate Relationship for an Anisotropic Material RAWB, Last Update: 28/11/08 (UNVERIFIED)

The linear elastic solution for the crack tip fields in a general orthotropic medium has been solved by Sih and Liebowitz (Ref.1). Knowledge of the stress and displacement fields then leads to the energy release rate, and hence the relation between K and G. Although this relates only to linear elastic behaviour, the resulting equation can be used as the definition of the effective K (i.e. the toughness) after yielding, just as it is in the isotropic case.

Equ.(4.51) of Ref.1 is the required relationship, although expressed in terms of a nonstandard definition of K and a general "a-tensor" of elastic constants. The conventional K differs by a factor of $\sqrt{\pi}$. The "a-tensor" for a 2D problem reduces to the following relation between strain and stress components for the general orthotropic medium,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{x} \\ \varepsilon_{y} \\ \gamma_{xy} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{16} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & a_{26} \\ a_{16} & a_{26} & a_{66} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{x} \\ \sigma_{y} \\ \sigma_{xy} \end{pmatrix}$$

The crack is taken to lie on the x-axis. Only the Mode I problem is considered here (though Ref.1 addresses all three Modes). The applied tension is in the y-direction. In this case the crack tip fields turn out not to depend upon the constants a_{16} and a_{26} . The remaining constants are more familiar in the following form, for plane stress:-

- $a_{11} = 1/E_1$, where E_1 is the elastic modulus in the x-direction;
- $a_{22} = 1/E_2$, where E_2 is the elastic modulus in the y-direction;
- $a_{66} = 1/\mu$, where μ is the shear modulus in the x-y plane;

There are two Poisson's ratios, depending upon whether the x-strain due a y-stress is considered (v_1), or vice-versa. But they are not independent, being related by,

•
$$a_{12} = -\frac{v_1}{E_1} = -\frac{v_2}{E_2}$$

Provided that the crack is constrained to grow in the self-similar direction (i.e. in the x-direction) the energy release rate is given in terms of the conventional K by:-

Plane stress:

$$\mathbf{G} = \left(\frac{1}{2\mathbf{E}_{1}\mathbf{E}_{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left[\sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{E}_{1}}{\mathbf{E}_{2}}} + \frac{\mathbf{E}_{1}}{2\mu} - \nu_{1}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{K}_{1}^{2}$$

A similar expression applies in plane strain with the usual adjustments to the constants, i.e.,

Plane strain:

$$\mathbf{G} = \left(\frac{1}{2\mathbf{E}_{1}'\mathbf{E}_{2}'}\right)^{1/2} \left[\sqrt{\frac{\mathbf{E}_{1}'}{\mathbf{E}_{2}'}} + \frac{\mathbf{E}_{1}'}{2\mu} - \nu_{1}'\right]^{1/2} \mathbf{K}_{1}^{2}$$

where,
$$E'_1 = \frac{E_1}{1 - v_1^2}$$
, $E'_2 = \frac{E_2}{1 - v_2^2}$, $v'_1 = \frac{v_1}{1 - v_1}$ and $v'_2 = \frac{v_2}{1 - v_2}$.

The above expressions are the most general, since the four constants E_1 , E_2 , μ and ν_1 are independent in general. However, if we make the assumption that the shear modulus can be approximated by,

$$\mu \approx \frac{\mathrm{E}_1}{2(1+\nu_1)}$$

then the following G-K relationships hold,

Plane stress:

$$G = \frac{\sqrt{1 + \sqrt{\frac{E_1}{E_2}}}}{\sqrt{2E_1E_2}} K_1^2$$

$$G = \frac{\sqrt{\left(1 - v_1^2\right)\left(1 - v_2^2\right)\left(1 + \sqrt{\frac{E_1}{E_2}}\right)}}{\sqrt{2E_1E_2}} K_1^2$$

Plane strain:

References

[1] H.Liebowitz (ed), "Fracture: An Advanced Treatise", Volume II, Academic Press 1968: Chapter 2, "Mathematical Theories of Brittle Fracture", by G.C.Sih and H.Liebowtz - Section IV, "Rectilinearly Anisotropic Bodies with Cracks". This document was created with Win2PDF available at http://www.win2pdf.com. The unregistered version of Win2PDF is for evaluation or non-commercial use only. This page will not be added after purchasing Win2PDF.